PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Gureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE 425 Eye Street N.W. BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:

Office: Texas Service Center

Date: MAY 1 3 2003

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of

November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id*.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, who certified her decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit additional evidence, as had been requested. The director, therefore, denied the application based on abandonment.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13) provides that if all requested initial evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied.

The record reflects that the applicant was requested on March 11, 2002, and again on June 14, 2002, to submit: (1) Form I-693, medical examination report and supplemental form; (2) a letter of clearance from the police department or sheriff's office from every city in the United States where she has resided for 6 months or longer; and (3) if she has ever been arrested, to submit a copy of all arrest records and the court's final disposition of each case. Because the applicant failed to respond to the requests, the director denied the application due to abandonment and certified his decision to the AAO.

Pursuant to section 291 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that she is eligible for adjustment of status. She has failed to meet that burden. Therefore, the decision of the director to deny the application based on abandonment, in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13), will be affirmed.

ORDER: The director's decision is affirmed.